

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,391	10/21/2003	Jesus Al Ortiz	020843-000110US	8652
20350	7590 05/26/2005		EXAM	INER
	O AND TOWNSEND RCADERO CENTER	CHANG, RICK KILTAE		
EIGHTH FLO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-383	4	3729	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sp
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/691,391	ORTIZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rick K. Chang	3729	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communical ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	tion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 March 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 11-40 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) that are not liste	e <u>d in item 8 below</u> is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-17,22-29 and 33-38</u> are subje	ect to restriction and/or election	requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b) objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			l(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	roigh phonty under 55 5.5.5. §	113(a)*(u) 01 (1).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docur		onlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	-:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election of Group II in the reply filed on 3/28/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Further, applicants failed to elect one of the species. Applicants are requested to elected one of the species as noted below.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1: a combination of cable and connector, drawn to claims 11-17.

Species 2: a cable alone with alternate structure arrangement, drawn to claims 22-28.

Species 3: a connector, drawn to claims 33-38.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3729

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Art Unit: 3729

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM, Monday through Thursday.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

HARD CHANG ARY EXAMINER

RC May 24, 2005